	1	J. Stephen Peek, Esq. (NV Bar #1758) Jerry M. Snyder, Esq. (NV Bar #6830)					
2 Adam G. Lang, Esq. (NV Bar #10117) Shane M. Biornstad, Esq. (NV Bar #9972)							
Hale Lane Peek Dennison and Howard 5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor							
	4	Reno, Nevada 89511 Telephone: (775) 327-3000					
	5	Facsimile: (775) 786-6179 speek@halelane.com; jsnyder@halelane.com;					
	6	alang@halelane.com; sbiornstad@halelane.com					
	7 8	Reid H. Weingarten, Esq. (D.C. Bar #365893) (Admitted Pro Hac Vice June 15, 2007) Brian M. Heberlig, Esq. (D.C. Bar #455381) (Admitted Pro Hac Vice June 15, 2007) Robert A. Ayers, Esq. (D.C. Bar #488284) (Admitted Pro Hac Vice June 15, 2007)					
	1 PTO Hac vice June 15, 2007)						
9 1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036-1795							
	10	Telephone: (202) 429-3000					
	11	Attorneys for eTreppid Technologies, LLC and Warr	en Trepp				
	12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
	13	FOR THE DISTRICT COURT OF NEVADA					
14		FUR THE DISTRICT COURT OF NEVADA					
•	15 16	DENNIS MONTGOMERY, an individual; and MONTGOMERY FAMILY TRUST, a California Trust,	Case No. 3:06-CV-00056-PMP-VPC Base File				
	17	Plaintiffs,	3:06-CV-00145-PMP-VPC				
	18 19	vs. ETREPPID TECHNOLOGIES, L.L.C., a Nevada	ETREPPID TECHNOLOGIES, L.L.C AND WARREN TREPP'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR				
	20	Limited Liability Company; WARREN TREPP, an individual; DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE of					
	21	the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; and DOES 1 through 10,					
Defendants.							
	23 AND RELATED CASE(S)						
	24						
	25	ETREPPID'S AND WARREN TREPP'S R	EPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR				
	26	SANCTIONS					
	27	eTreppid Technologies, LLC and Warren Trepp (collectively "eTreppid") hereby submit					
	28	Reply in Support of Motion for Sanctions.					
		1.1					

their

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

Montgomery's Opposition to the present Motion for Sanctions is based almost entirely on his assertion that he has been unable to comply with this Court's February 21, 2008 Order because much of the information that he was ordered to produce exists only in electronic form, and this was not ordered to be produced, and (2) eTreppid failed to meet and confer to resolve any issues relating to Montgomery's failure to provide responsive documents before filing the present motion.

Both of these arguments are unavailing. Montgomery's failure to provide virtually any of the documents that he was ordered to produce cannot be excused by the fact that these documents may be stored electronically. First, there is simply no reason why Montgomery cannot begin to produce electronically stored documents – as eTreppid has done – by printing them out and producing hard copies. Second, the production of many of the subject documents, such as copies of all CDs that are labeled as belonging to eTreppid, does not require the use of a third party vendor. Third, many of the documents requested, such as any Agreements between Montgomery and Blixseth and/or Opspring, almost certainly exist in hard copy form as well as in electronic form. Indeed, although Montgomery asserts, in his Opposition, that he is not in possession of any paper hard copy documents, he does not provide any evidence, such as a supporting declaration executed by Dennis Montgomery, to support this fact.

Montgomery's assertion that eTreppid has failed to meet and confer in good faith regarding his failure to provide responsive documents is likewise unsupportable. Montgomery simply fails to inform the Court that even after the present motion was filed, counsel for eTreppid asked counsel for Montgomery, on a number of occasions, when Montgomery would provide responsive documents. Counsel for Montgomery simply ignored these communications. After refusing to participate in any meaningful discussions relating to the production of the documents as required by this Court's February 21, 2008 Order, it is absurd for Montgomery to assert that eTreppid failed to attempt to meet and confer in good faith.

///

II. ARGUMENT

A. <u>eTreppid Attempted In Good Faith to Resolve this Discovery Dispute Both Before</u> and After Filing the Present Motion

As set forth in eTreppid's Motion for Sanctions, on March 18, 2008, prior to filing that Motion, eTreppid requested that Montgomery inform it of what documents Montgomery intended to produce, and when Montgomery intended to produce them. Montgomery did not provide this information. *See* **Exhibit 3** to eTreppid's March 19, 2008 Motion for Sanctions.

Since filing that Motion, eTreppid has requested on at least two occasions that Montgomery provide the additional documents that he was ordered to produce. On March 25, 2008, counsel for eTreppid sent an eMail to counsel for Montgomery again requesting that Montgomery identify what he intended to produce, and when he intended to produce it. A true and correct copy of this email is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1.** Montgomery did not respond to this eMail. On March 28, 2008, counsel for eTreppid sent counsel for Montgomery a letter again requesting this information. A true and correct copy of this email is attached hereto as **Exhibit 2.** Montgomery did not respond to this letter.

Thus, on at least three occasions, eTreppid attempted to engage in a good faith effort to discuss a resolution of this dispute – or at least a portion of the dispute – by requesting that Montgomery identify what he intended to produce, and when such production would be made. Montgomery did not respond in any way to these attempts at an informal resolution. He cannot seriously assert that eTreppid failed to attempt such an informal resolution.

B. Montgomery Is Not Relieved of His Duty to Disclose By the Fact that Some Documents Are Stored Electronically

Substantively, Montgomery's Opposition is premised on the notion that no sanctions are appropriate because Montgomery is currently complying with the February 21, 2008 Order, but that his compliance has been delayed because, he asserts, the documents at issue are stored electronically. As Montgomery points out, he has produced a handful of documents since the present motion was filed. Specifically, Montgomery has produced the following documents in response to this Court's February 21, 2008 Order:

Copies of Montgomery's tax returns for the years 1998 to 2005.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Photocopies of the faces of only 31 of the 167+ CDs that the FBI returned to Montgomery in March 2007.
- A letter purporting to show that Computermate transferred rights in certain copyrights to Montgomery.
- Copies of checks written by Montgomery in partial repayment of a loan made to him by Friendly Capital Partners.

Montgomery has failed to produce a number of other documents that are the subject of this Court's February 21, 2008 order. Montgomery asserts that he cannot have produced these documents yet, because they are stored electronically. As such, Montgomery was waiting for this Court's determination relative to vendor protocols for electronic discovery prior to production. Because those protocols were not entered until April 2, 2008, Montgomery asserts, he was unable to provide responsive documents prior to that time.

Montgomery fails to explain why he cannot simply do as eTreppid has done and provide hard copies of documents that are stored electronically. To date, eTreppid has produced in excess of 13,000 pages of hard copy documents, many of which are printed versions of documents stored electronically. Montgomery has not even attempted to engage in such an exercise, even though many of the requested documents – such as any agreements between Montgomery and Opspring and /or Blixseth, and indicia of payment made pursuant to those agreements – are likely to exist electronically as .pdf files, or scanned copies of documents. Nonetheless, Montgomery has failed to make any attempt whatsoever to comply with this Court's February 28, 2008 Order.

Moreover, although Montgomery asserts that the documents at issue exist only in electronic form, he fails to support this assertion with any evidence, such as a Declaration executed by Mr. Montgomery explaining what information is stored electronically and how long it will take to produce such information.

Moreover, Montgomery has not provided all the hard copy documents that this Court ordered him to produce. The FBI seized, and returned to Montgomery, more than 160 CDs. Montgomery has provided copies of the faces of only 31 CDs. Montgomery asserts that he was unable to provide copies of the rest of the CDs because of "discrepancies between the FBI's seizure inventory list and return

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

inventory list." However, Montgomery does not explain how these alleged "discrepancies" impact the question of what CDs were seized and returned.

Indeed, a comparison of the search warrant returns with the Inventory of items returned to Montgomery shows that there is little discrepancy relating to CDs actually seized and returned. The March 29, 2007 FBI Inventory of items returned to Montgomery (A true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit 3**) shows that in excess of 160 CDs were returned to Montgomery, including:

- "One yellow and gray case containing eTreppid disks 51 CDs" (Item No. 9);
- "Thirteen Computer CDs" (Item No. 25);
- "Sixteen Computer CDs" (Item No. 26);
- "Three Computer CDs" (Item No. 27);
- "Seventy-Eight (78) CDs" (Item No. 29).

The March 8, 2006 Search Warrant Returns (A true and correct copy of relevant portions of which are attached hereto as **Exhibit** 4) show that the FBI initially seized the following items:

- One Yellow/Grey Case containing eTreppid Disks;
- Seven Compact Disks;
- One box containing 78 Compact Disks;
- 15 Computer CDs
- 16 Computer CDs

These two inventories show that there is virtually no discrepancy between what was seized by the FBI and what was returned to Montgomery. Nonetheless, Montgomery has failed to provide copies of all but 31 of the CDs seized by the FBI and returned, even though many of the categories of seized documents match very closely, such as "51 eTreppid CDs" contained in the yellow and grey case, and the 78 CDs that were contained in a box.

In addition, Montgomery has refused to provide copies of the contents of a number of CDs that are clearly marked as belonging to eTreppid. Montgomery states that he has not done so because the February 21, 2008 order applies only to hard copy documents. Montgomery implies that this Court simply ordered Montgomery to provide copies of the contents of these CDs at his leisure. This is, of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

course, nonsense. When the February 21, 2008 order is read in full, it is clear that this Court intended that Montgomery be required to provide the copies of the CDs by March 14, 2008. Montgomery made no effort to do so. Moreover, Montgomery cannot credibly assert that he was unable to provide a copy of these CDs until a third party vendor was engaged. Simply copying a CD is a trivial matter, requiring no particular technical expertise. As such, there is simply no basis for Montgomery's refusal to provide both (1) photocopies of the faces of all CDs seized by the FBI and subsequently returned to Montgomery, and (2) copies of the contents of all CDs bearing a label that indicates eTreppid ownership.

Montgomery's refusal to provide these CDs is indicative not only of his disdain for that particular portion of this Court February 21, 2008 order, but also his willful refusal to make a good faith attempt to comply with the remainder of that order. Accordingly, eTreppid submits that this Court should view Montgomery's insistence that he has been unable to comply with other portions of the February 21 order in light of the frivolous nature of Montgomery's excuse for failing to provide the CDs at issue.

C. The Requested Sanctions Are Appropriate

Montgomery next asserts that, in essence, sanctions are not warranted because (1) eTreppid did not make a good faith effort to meet and confer before filing the present motion, and (2) eTreppid has not shown any prejudice from Montgomery's "delay" in producing the ordered documents.

Montgomery's first point is obviously specious; as set forth above, eTreppid has engaged in an extensive effort to meet and confer regarding this dispute. However, Montgomery has continued to refuse to provide documents that he was ordered to produce, and he has likewise refused to say whether and when the documents will be produced. Accordingly, Montgomery, and not eTreppid, has failed to participate in a good faith effort to resolve this dispute informally.

Montgomery further implies that his failure to provide the subject documents has not really prejudiced eTreppid, because this is merely a "delay" in his production. However, even though Montgomery was order to provide the subject documents on March 14, 2008, he has provided only a handful of responsive documents, and he has ignored eTreppid's many requests that he commit to a date by which the production is to take place.

For these reasons, the sanctions that eTreppid has requested in this matter are entirely appropriate, and eTreppid respectfully requests that this Court issue one or more of those sanctions.

III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

For the foregoing reasons, eTreppid respectfully requests that the present motion be granted.

Dated: April 11, 2008.

/s/

J. Stephen Peek, Esq. (NV Bar #1758) Jerry M. Snyder, Esq. (NV Bar #6830) Adam G. Lang, Esq. (NV Bar #10117) Shane M. Biornstad, Esq. (NV Bar #9972) Hale Lane Peek Dennison and Howard 5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor Reno, Nevada 89511

Telephone: (775) 327-3000 Facsimile: (775) 786-6179

Reid H. Weingarten, Esq. (D.C. Bar #365893) Brian M. Heberlig, Esq. (D.C. Bar #455381) Robert A. Ayers, Esq. (D.C. Bar #488284) Steptoe & Johnson LLP 1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036-1795

Telephone: (202) 429-3000 Facsimile: (202) 429-3902

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Cross-Defendant eTreppid Technologies, L.L.C. and Cross-Defendant Warren Trepp

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

25

26

27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Cynthia L. Kelb, declare:

I am employed in the City of Reno, County of Washoe, State of Nevada, by the law offices of Hale Lane Peek Dennison and Howard. My business address is: 5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor, Reno, Nevada 89511. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action. I am readily familiar with Hale Lane Peek Dennison and Howard's practice for collection of mail, delivery of its hand-deliveries and their process of faxes.

On April 21, 2008, I caused the foregoing ETREPPID'S AND WARREN TREPP'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SANCTIONS to be:

filed electronically with the U.S. District Court and therefore the court's computer _X_ system has electronically delivered a copy of the foregoing document to the following person(s) at the following e-mail addresses:

Fax No. 775/829-1226 mgunderson@gundersonlaw.com Mark H. Gunderson, Ltd. Mark H. Gunderson, Esq. 5345 Kietzke Lane, Suite 200 Reno, Nevada 89511

Fax No. 202/616-8470 Carlotta.wells@usdoj.gov Carlotta P. Wells, Esq. Senior Trial Counsel Federal Programs Branch

Civil Division – Room 7150 U.S. Department of Justice 20 Massachusetts Ave., NW P.O. Box 883

Washington, DC 20044

20 Fax No. 784-5181

Greg.addington@usdoj.gov

21 Greg Addington, Esq.

Assistant U.S. Attorney

22 100 W. Liberty Street, Suite 600

Reno, NV 89501

Fax No. 206-682-7100 jbeatty@karrtuttle.com Jacquelyn A. Beatty, Esq. Karr Tuttle Campbell 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2900 Seattle, WA 98101

Fax 310/500-3501

dklar@linerlaw.com; tchisolm@linerlaw.com Deborah A. Klar, Esq. Tuneen Chisolm, Esq. Liner Yankelevitz Sunshine & Regenstreif, LLP 1100 Glendon Avenue, 14th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90024-3503

Fax 202/616-8470

Raphael.gomez@usdoj.gov Raphael O. Gomez, Esq. Senior Trial Counsel Federal Programs Branch Civil Division – Room 6144 U.S. Department of Justice 20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W. P.O. Box 883 Washington, DC 20044

Fax No. 775-823-2929 bpeck@lrlaw.com Bridget Robb Peck, Esq. Lewis and Roca, LLP 50 W. Liberty Street, Ste. 410 Reno, Nevada 89501

Fax No. 206-903-8820

park.brian@dorsey.com; stewart.douglas@dorsey.com; trotta.nicole@dorsey.com; Crowley.robert@dorsey.com; Brian Park, Esq. Douglas Stewart, Esq. Nicole Trotta, Esq.

Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 544 Filed 04/21/08 Page 9 of 24

Hale Lane Peek Dennison and Howard 5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor

Robert Crowley, Esq. Dorsey & Whitney, LLP 1420 Fifth Ave., Ste. 3400 Seattle, Washington 98101

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on April 21, 2008.

____/s/_ Cynthia L. Kelb

EXHIBIT "1"

EXHIBIT "1"

Jerry Snyder

From:

Jerry Snyder

Sent:

Tuesday, March 25, 2008 4:48 PM

To:

'Tuneen E. Chisolm'

Cc:

'Deborah A. Klar'; 'Criss Draper'

Subject: RE: Letter from Jerry Snyder

I have not heard anything further regarding what Montgomery intends to produce and when it will be produced.

Furthermore, please note that the documents produced to date are incomplete. In the February 21, 2008 order. the Magistrate ordered Montgomery to (1) provide a photocopy of the face of each CD seized by the FBI, and (2) produce a copy of every CD the FBI seized, which bears an eTreppid or is understood to be eTreppid property.

You have provided photocopies of the faces of approximately 30 CD's. The Government's March 30, 2007 Detailed Inventory of Property Returned shows that the FBI seized and returned at least 167 CDs. Please provide copies of these remaining CDs.

In addition, a number of the CD's for which you did provide copies clearly bear an eTreppid logo. However, you have not yet provided copies of these CDs.

Please advise as to when this material will be provided.

From: Tuneen E. Chisolm [mailto:TCHISOLM@linerlaw.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 3:41 PM

To: Jerry Snyder

Cc: Deborah A. Klar; Criss Draper Subject: RE: Letter from Jerry Snyder

Jerry: Per my advisement earlier, I had hoped to provide the information you requested below by close of business today, but cannot, as Deborah is in arbitration all day and thus unavailable.

Regarding any documents provided to the media, there are no hard copy documents that we know of so that production has to await the electronic protocols.

I will let you know about anything else as soon as possible. If you reply to this email, please reply to all. Thanks.

From: Jerry Snyder [mailto:JSnyder@halelane.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 12:05 PM

To: Tuneen E. Chisolm

Subject: RE: Letter from Jerry Snyder

Please advise as to (1) what Montgomery intends to produce, and (2) when will it be produced.

If you do not confirm, by the close of business today, that Montgomery will provide all documents that he was ordered, on February 21, 2008 order, to produce on or March 14, 2008, then eTreppid will proceed with a motion seeking sanctions for Montgomery's failure to comply with that order.

From: Tuneen E. Chisolm [mailto:TCHISOLM@linerlaw.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 11:56 AM

To: Jerry Snyder

Cc: Cynde Kelb; Deborah A. Klar; Criss Draper; Peter Bransten

Subject: RE: Letter from Jerry Snyder

Jerry:

I send this email in response to your letter sent today, purporting to confirm our telephone conversation yesterday afternoon. You are not correct. You asked whether there was another package of documents on the way, and I told you there was not. I DID NOT say that no further production is intended.

Tuneen E. Chisolm, Esq.

LINER YANKELEVITZ SUNSHINE & REGENSTREIF LLP

1100 Glendon Avenue | 14th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90024.3503

main: 310.500.3500 dir: 310.500.3499 fax: 310.500.3501

dir fax: (800) 517-0819 tchisolm@linerlaw.com www.linerlaw.com

Notice of Privilege/Confidentiality Privileged and Confidential information may be contained in this message. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or Responsible for delivery of the message to such person), you may not copy or deliver this message to anyone. In such case, you should destroy this message and kindly notify the sender by reply email. Please advise immediately if you or your employer does not consent to Internet email for messages of this kind. Opinions, conclusions and other information in this message that do not relate to the official business of my firm shall be understood as neither given nor endorsed by it.

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To ensure compliance with Treasury Department Regulations, we advise you that, unless otherwise expressly indicated, any federal tax advice contained in this communication was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or applicable state or local tax law provisions or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matter addressed herein.

From: Cynde Kelb [mailto:ckelb@halelane.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 11:24 AM

To: Tuneen E. Chisolm

Subject: Letter from Jerry Snyder

Please find attached a letter from Jerry Snyder dated March 18, 2008.

<<Letter to Tuneen Chisolm dated 3-18-08.pdf>>

Cynde Kelb

Legal Assistant to Jerry Snyder, Esq. and Rob Smith, Esq. Hale Lane Peek Dennison and Howard 5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor Reno, Nevada 89511 Direct Dial (775) 327-3051 ckelb@halelane.com

Visit our web site at: www.halelane.com

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL: This message originates from the law firm of Hale Lane Peek Dennison and Howard. This message and any file(s) or attachment(s) transmitted with it are confidential, intended only for the named recipient, and may contain information that is a trade secret, proprietary, protected by the attorney work product doctrine, subject to the attorney-client privilege, or is otherwise protected against unauthorized use or disclosure. This message and

any file(s) or attachment(s) transmitted with it are transmitted based on a reasonable expectation of privacy consistent with ABA Formal Opinion No. 99-413. Any disclosure, distribution, copying, or use of this information by anyone other than the intended recipient, regardless of address or routing, is strictly prohibited. If you receive this message in error, please advise the sender by immediate reply and delete the original message. Personal messages express only the view of the sender and are not attributable to Hale Lane Peek Dennison and Howard.

CONFIDENTIAL: This message and any attachment(s) are confidential, intended only for the named recipient, and may be privileged. Any disclosure, distribution or use of this information by anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly proh If you receive this message in error, please advise the sender by immediate reply an delete the original message.

IRS DISCLOSURE: This message and any attachment(s) are not intended to, and cannot, be used for purposes of avoiding penalties imposed under the Internal Revenue Code o promoting, marketing or recommending to another person any tax-related matter addres herein.

CONFIDENTIAL: This message and any attachment(s) are confidential, intended only for the named recipient, and may be privileged. Any disclosure, distribution or use of this information by anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly proh If you receive this message in error, please advise the sender by immediate reply an delete the original message.

IRS DISCLOSURE: This message and any attachment(s) are not intended to, and cannot, be used for purposes of avoiding penalties imposed under the Internal Revenue Code o promoting, marketing or recommending to another person any tax-related matter addres herein.

EXHIBIT "2"

EXHIBIT "2"



5441 Kietzke Lane | Second Floor | Reno, Nevada 89511 Telephone (775) 327-3000 | Facsimile (775) 786-6179 www.halelane.com

JERRY M. SNYDER

jsnyder@halelane.com Direct: (775) 327-3017

March 28, 2008

Via eMail and Regular Mail

Tuneen Chisolm, Esq. Liner Yankelevitz Sunshine & Regenstreif, LLP 1100 Glendon Avenue, 14th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90024-3503

Re: eTreppid Technologies and Warren Trepp adv. Montgomery, et al.

Our File No.: 20801-0002

Dear Ms. Chisolm:

It has now been two weeks since the March 14 date by which Montgomery was to provide documents as ordered by the Court on February 21, 2008. I have emailed you on numerous occasions to inquire as to what documents you intend to produce and when you intend to produce them. I have had no response to these attempted communications.

We have received, to date, two letters relating to transfers of interest in some of the copyrights at issue in this case, photocopies of the faces of approximately 30 of the 167+ CDs returned to Montgomery by the FBI, and partial tax returns for the years 2002-2005. Please advise as to when the remaining documents that Montgomery has been ordered to produce will be produced.

In addition, you advised on Wednesday that your contact person at IKON no longer worked there. As a result, I advised that either of the other two neutrals that you suggested were acceptable. To date, you have not informed me of the name of either of these individuals, nor have you made any effort to contact them. Please advise as to how you wish to proceed.

Sincerely,

Jerry M. Snyde:

HALE LANE PEEK DENNISON AND HOWARD

LAS VEGAS OFFICE: 3930 Howard Hughes Parkway | Fourth Floor | Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 | Phone (702) 222-2500 | Facsimile (702) 365-6940 CARSON CITY OFFICE: 777 East William Street | Suite 200 | Carson City, Nevada 89701 | Phone (775) 684-6000 | Facsimile (775) 684-6001

::ODMA\PCDOCS\HLRNODOCS\728995\1

EXHIBIT "3"

EXHIBIT "3"

Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 544 Filed 04/21/08 Page 17 of 24

Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 527-2 Filed 04/07/2008 Page 11 of 57

AO 93 (Rev. 2/90) Search Warrant							
RETURN							
DATE WARRANT RECEIVED DATE AND TIME WARRANT EXECUTED COPY OF WARRANT AND RECEIPT FOR ITEMS LEFT WITH 3/3/2006 3/3/2006 6:4200 Lockes uside ont 140							
INVENTORY MADE IN THE PRESENCE OF SA GIELD BOTH							
INVENTORY OF PERSON OR PROPERTY TAKEN PURSUANT TO THE WARRANT							
1. ONE YELLOW/GREY CASE contraining etreppid disks. 2. SEVEN Compact disks.							
3. NINE MINI DV VIDEO CHASETEES.							
4. ONE Sony Hi & VIDEO CASSETTE.							
5. ONE USB (Black 2.0 Flashback)							
6. DNFL 256WB SANDISK COMPACT FLASH CARD							
7. ONTE IBM TRAVEL STAR HARD DRIVE SN/V29 CH7080N5							
8. Eleven Sealed WESTERN Digitar Hard DRIVES.							
9. ONTE TOK MINI DV VIDED CASSELLTE.							
10. TEN VARIOUS MANUFACTURERUR HAND Drives							
11. ONE box containing 78 compact disks.							
12. Bank statements DEC'05 & Jan '06							
13. Furanciar Documents & phone bills							
14. ONE REMOVEABLE hard drive Labeled "DEADNIS EYES ONLY" * ONE COMPACE DISK LABELED ETREPPID.							
& our compact disk LASTLES ETRAPPID.							
CERTIFICATION							
I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the							
warrant.							
- and found							
Subcribed, sworn to, and returned before me this date.							
US, Judge of Mariestrate 3/1/04							
Subcribed, sworn to, and returned before me this date. All 3/1/04 U.S. Judge of Magistrate Date							

Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 544 Filed 04/21/08 Page 18 of 24

AO 93 (Rev. 2/90) Search Warrant							
RETURN							
DATE WARRANT RECEIVED DATE AND TIME WARRANT EXECUTED COPY OF WARRANT AND RECEIPT FOR ITEMS LEFT WITH 28 2006 31 2006 12:08pm Attorney ERIC PLIVER							
INVENTORY MADE IN THE PRESENCE OF AHORNEY ERIC PUNER							
INVENTORY OF PERSON OR PROPERTY TAKEN PURSUANT TO THE WARRANT							
1. HP Pavilion DV1000 SN/CNF5422HGB Notebook W/Fower cord. 2. SIX SANDISK Compact Flash CANDS							
3. LETTER on white Papen and VELLOW PAGES ripped up paper.							
4. Robdex							
5. 15 Compact disks							
6. White Shredded paper.							
7. Misc. Post-it Notes.							
8. Network Solutions Account PAperwork 4 pages.							
9. Check Stubs - Mottomery Fancing trust							
10. WESTERN DIGITAL HAND DISK Sert WEAL 71844911							
11. GRANITE DIGITAL - "DENSERVER" 12/17/2005 3N/F05090650042A							
12. Silver CPV (Tower) LABRIED ATI3							
13. He compact Disks. 14. Three pieces of paper containing phone numbers							
15. Granita Digitar Seven labeled DEO ortzolow 7806"							
1							
16. Prescription drugs with No prescription made in INDIA							
A. Alipex 42 Tablets .25mg B. Edicifor 45 Tablets .77							
8. Edicition 40 Tablets 500 mg							
C. VAlium 10 168 Tablets 5 mg							
D. CAVISOMA 190 Tablets 350 mg							
E. Azithromycia 78 Tables Stong							
F. Educifrox 100 Tablets sooning. G. Zolfrech 5 100 Tablets 5 mg							
G. Zolfresh 5 100 Tables 5 mg							
~_ <u></u>							
CERTIFICATION							
i swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the							
warrant.							
Subcribed, sworn to, and returned before me this date.							
1/allus (7, Inthe 3/8/06							
U.S. Judge or Magistrate Date							

EXHIBIT "4"

EXHIBIT "4"

Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 544 Filed 04/21/08 Page 20 of 24 Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 527-2 Filed 04/07/2008 Page 16 of 5

Filed 04/07/2008 Page 16 of 57

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION Receipt for Property Received/Returned/Released/Seized

	File # 295A-LV-39368							
	On (date) March 29, 200)	item(s) listed below were: Received From Returned To Released To						
	(Name) DeMIS L. MONTGOMERY	Seized						
	(Street Address)	, gapping and the foreign to the fo						
	(City) Renu NV	and the second s						
	(Chy) - 1000 pt							
	Description of Item(s):	SCI W FWCAL 75/6 7504						
#1		enni, Home 9/12/05						
·	Doc Siver Lian LI Tower Sound # No soul # De E03968052. No hodden accessor 11 35 110	ean distading milde						
#	- EB3968015 One 11P Parlish DV 1000, SW/CNI	542311613 Note 600 601						
	Journa d							
#3	603968079 Grante Deval - Verserver Luber	led 12/17/05 Sec. 1#						
FUS 75 0650042A with I haiddine soul + WMAMRIAGEDIS								
	1 + 1 m 0 m 1 1 m 0 m 2	·						
#4	ED3968031 One Gunte Digital Sever labeled 1/20/06 trong with							
	2 halding sun & WMAMR 1537929, sonal + WMAMR 1523649							
#5	E03965126 One Western Dy al 30068 Sciel + WA							
	endence derived from HP Paullion Northook 306	B Hatech Herdbise						
	scuel * Ø3kØ364							
#6	E 03968110 On Plaxto 3036B thed in 12 500	14 4608178H						
	convers ordered derived from Custom Tower Was	,						
	1/0 diline soid # WCAL 751675/64							
#7	Eleven scaled exizin ilyeal that dise, swews	11/11A 15 75542						
1	SN . UMAMR1624597, SNE WINAMR1673(81, SNE WINAMR15	•						
3	50 = WMAMK 1533197, SW + WMAMK 1612253, SW + WM -1.08.	•						
34531	SN=WMAINK 1589666, SN+WMININR 1538570, Smir WMAINIL 154							
E	Received By: Received From:							
14	(Signature)	(Signature)						

Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 544 Filed 04/21/08 Page 21 of 24 Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 527-2 Filed 04/07/2008 Page 17 of 57

FD-597 (Rev 8-11-94)

Received By:

(Signature)

Page 2 of 4

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION Receipt for Property Received/Returned/Released/Seized

File # 295A-LV-39368 item(s) listed below were: Received From Returned To Released To Seized (Street Address Description of Item(s): #8 ED 3968IDL SEGGETE 30.66B SN#3CKØJ8W3, SEGGETE SN#3CKOPXXY, Weszin U, al 4000 Haddene SN* WMANIS19473 SUF WASSCILL 3396 Western dill SNA WMAN 16644525 SN# DYHC4/1X

Received From:

(Signature)

FD-597 (Rev 8-11-94)

Page 3 of 4

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION Receipt for Property Received/Returned/Released/Seized

File # <u>295A</u>	1-LV 39368							
	March 29,20		item(s) listed below were: Received From Returned To Released To Seized					
(Name)	nnis L. Monz	TADMET.						
(Street Address)	-) / /						
(City) Den	N./ .							
(0.00)			**************************************					
Description of Ite	m(s):							
#16 EØ 39656	891 Sony M. N. DV	Tape Labeled Teit leg	de 12/14/15"					
	•	prote to hate! The desple						
		pc /ab/cd 07/07/05						
3 WF B2HC	2126F2718 one oh	so lexited ox/ox/os &	4nd 1RD *					
#18 2035687	57 Sony 4:8MP	8 MA HEACHURGE SNAF	4404259 Blech USB					
Momory Si	ick surpzø722	00091, One 25608	CUNDISK COMPLES Flash					
Monory Sizek SN# Ø3Ø7220Øp92, One 256 mg SunDisk Compact Flach. #19 EØ3968Ø36 One TRM Track STOR # Hadding SWF V29CH7Ø3ONS								
	#30 ED39680SS One TDK MA DV Tage SN + MADTULS							
		udeo casa TES-)VO						
		OV SUE 5711A1914BBB43						
5N-5311349	930 \$159 Sony 1	n DV Sw: 53411 45 Ø7	DESTATIONE					
Weisinger								
422 EX396X	034 Bent Statem	any from Hac OF and	Jan WHO How Well Tays					
1 loom Back	1 America)							
#23 EØ39680	193 Francial Do	when , and Cell thone	6.115					
		- paper; yellow paces of						
		per 4) 11 x Post 15 1000						
	-	Check ST-by Munigare	_					
	quees at gage con	,						
Received By:	En a Te	Received From:	12					
	(Signature)		(Signature)					

Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 544 Filed 04/21/08 Page 23 of 24 Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 527-2 Filed 04/07/2008 Page 19 of 57

FD-597 (Rev 8-11-94)

Page _____of ____

(Signature)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION Receipt for Property Received/Returned/Released/Seized

File # 295A-LV-39368 item(s) listed below were: Received From Returned To Released To Seized (Street Address) Description of Item(s): Thitopo (13) Comprier CD's Compactifish Coid, One (1) Lexal Compact thish Coid. images taken from three (3) UV Tapes, items Received By: Received From:

Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 544 Filed 04/21/08 Page 24 of 24 Case 3:06-cv-00056-PMP-VPC Document 527-2 Filed 04/07/2008 Page 20 of 57 FD-597 (Rev 8-11-94) UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION Receipt for Property Received/Returned/Released/Seized item(s) listed below were: Dennis Lee Montgomers Received From Returned To Released To Seized (Street Address) (City) KCNU NV Description of Item(s): 0.25 43 TableTS.

Received By: Suice

Received From:

(Signature)